

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested in light of the above amendments and the following remarks.

At the outset, the Applicants acknowledge the indication of allowable subject matter in claim 21.

Claim 16-20, 22-31, 33 and 34 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,546) in view of Jarett et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,735,432). Claim 32 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,546) in view of Jarett et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,735,432) and further view of Yuen (U.S. Patent No. 5,898,919). Claim 21 has been objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections in view of the amendments herein.

Claims 16 has been amended to include subject matter from allowable claim 21 so as to further distinguish the present invention from the references relied upon in the rejections.

As pointed out in the Office Action, the applied references fail to teach or suggest the combined features incorporated into claim 16 whereby a cordless handset:

(1) transmits an instruction signal, through the intermediary of a base unit, to an external information service

via a network,

(2) receives information, which includes at least one of news, banking, ticket, bond, insurance, town, administration, dictionary, traffic, and stock, corresponding to the instruction signal from the external information service through the intermediary of said base unit, and

(3) displays the information on said display of the handset.


By the above-noted combined features, users of this cordless telephone can see, for example, news such as world news, domestic news, weather news or the like on the display of the cordless handset.

Independent claims 17, 22 and 23 similarly recite the above described features distinguishing apparatus claim 16 from the applied references, although claims 22 and 23 do so with respect to methods. For similar reasons that these features patentably distinguish claim 16 from the applied references, so too do they distinguish claims 17, 22, and 23. Therefore, allowance of claims 16, 17, 22 and 23 and all claims dependent therefrom is warranted.

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

If any issues remain which may best be resolved through a telephone communication, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at the local Washington, D.C. telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



James E. Ledbetter  
Registration No. 28,732

Date: November 14, 2005  
JEL/att

Attorney Docket No. L7016.01143  
STEVENS DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P.  
1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 850  
P.O. Box 34387  
Washington, D.C. 20043-4387  
Telephone: (202) 785-0100  
Facsimile: (202) 408-5200